

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,854	10/17/2003	Ralph M. Pivonka	2-1271-013	9571
803	7590 11/27/200	·	EXAMINER	
STURM & FIX		<u> </u>		
206 SIXTH AV	'ENUE	MCKANE, ELIZABETH L		
SUITE 1213 DES MOINES, IA 50309-4076			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/687,854	PIVONKA, RALPH M.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Leigh McKane	1797	

	Leigh wickane	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the set form: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Intension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig Intensity of the mailing days.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecance
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b ITF helow):	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow):	TE BOIOWY,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	•	` ,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>19</u> would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 		ely filed amendment o	canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 19.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ worked below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>19</u> . Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 17.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	,	
13.	,	Leigh (McKane Primary Examiner	Kane
		r muary ⊏xaminer Art I bit: 1707	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While Applicant asserts that Jones does not teach sterilization, the examiner maintains that it does indeed. The destruction of microorganisms and other disease causing organisms is sterilization in the known sense of the term. Moreover, the only difference between the Opfel reference and the instant claim 17 is the mobility of the apparatus. Since Jones evidences the known use of a mobile apparatus, it is certainly not deemed inventive to apply mobility to the known apparatus.